

HYPERSPACES WITH THE ATTOUCH-WETS TOPOLOGY HOMEOMORPHIC TO ℓ_2

R. VOYTSITSKY

ABSTRACT. It is shown that the hyperspace of all nonempty closed subsets $\text{Cld}_{AW}(X)$ of a separable metric space (X, d) endowed with the Attouch-Wets topology is homeomorphic to ℓ_2 if and only if the completion $\overline{X}$ of X is proper, locally connected and contains no bounded connected component, X is topologically complete and not locally compact at infinity.

1. INTRODUCTION

For a metric space $X = (X, d)$, let $C(X)$ be the set of all continuous real valued functions on X and $\text{Cld}(X)$ be the set of all nonempty closed subsets of X . Identifying each $A \in \text{Cld}(X)$ with the continuous function $X \ni x \mapsto d(x, A) \in \mathbb{R}$, we can embed $\text{Cld}(X)$ into the function space $C(X)$.

The function space $C(X)$ carries at least three natural topologies: of point-wise convergence, of uniform convergence and of uniform convergence on bounded subsets of X . Those three topologies of $C(X)$ induce three topologies on the hyperspace $\text{Cld}(X)$: the *Wijsman* topology, the *metric Hausdorff* topology and the *Attouch-Wets* topology. The hyperspace $\text{Cld}(X)$ endowed with one of these topologies is denoted by $\text{Cld}_W(X)$, $\text{Cld}_H(X)$, and $\text{Cld}_{AW}(X)$, respectively. The Wijsman topology coincides with the Attouch-Wets topology if and only if bounded subsets of X are totally bounded [Be, Theorem 3.1.4]. On the other hand, the Attouch-Wets topology coincides with the Hausdorff metric topology if and only if (X, d) is a bounded metric space [Be, Exercise 3.2.2]. The Hausdorff metric topology on $\text{Cld}_H(X)$ is generated by the Hausdorff metric $d_H(A, B) = \sup_{x \in X} |d(x, A) - d(x, B)|$, where $A, B \in \text{Cld}(X)$.

In [BKS, Theorem 5.3] it is proved that for an infinite-dimensional Banach space X of weight $w(X)$, the hyperspace $\text{Cld}_{AW}(X)$ is homeomorphic to $(\cong)$ the Hilbert space of weight $2^{w(X)}$. In particular, for an infinite-dimensional separable Banach space X , the hyperspace $\text{Cld}_{AW}(X)$ is homeomorphic to $\ell_2(2^{\aleph_0})$. On the other hand, for each finite-dimensional normed linear space X , since every bounded closed set in X is compact, the Attouch-Wets topology on $\text{Cld}(X)$ agrees with the Fell topology [Be, p.144]. Then, by [SY], $\text{Cld}_{AW}(X)$ is homeomorphic to $Q \setminus \{pt\}$. Thus, for a Banach space X the hyperspace $\text{Cld}_{AW}(X)$ is either locally compact or non-separable. In [BKS] the authors asked: does there exist an unbounded metric space X such that $\text{Cld}_{AW}(X) \cong \ell_2$? And, more generally, what are the necessary and sufficient conditions under which the hyperspace $\text{Cld}_{AW}(X)$ is homeomorphic to ℓ_2 ? In this paper we answer these questions proving the following characterization theorem.

Theorem 1. *The hyperspace $\text{Cld}_{AW}(X)$ of a metric space X is homeomorphic to ℓ_2 if and only if the completion $\overline{X}$ of X is proper, locally connected and contains no bounded connected component, X is topologically complete and is not locally compact at infinity.*

A metric space X is defined to be

- *proper* if each closed bounded subset of X is compact;
- *not locally compact at infinity* if no bounded subset of X has locally compact complement.

Observe that under the conditions of Theorem 1 the Attouch-Wets topology coincides with the Wijsman topology (cf. [Be, Theorem 3.1.4]). So, for free, we obtain the following

Corollary 1. *For a metric space (X, d) the hyperspace $\text{Cld}_W(X)$ is homeomorphic to ℓ_2 if the completion $\overline{X}$ of X is proper, locally connected and contains no bounded component, X is topologically complete and not locally compact at infinity.*

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54B20, 57N20.

Key words and phrases. Hyperspace, Attouch-Wets topology, Hilbert space, AR.

Applying Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 to the space $\mathbb{P}$ of irrational numbers of the real line we obtain:

Corollary 2. $\text{Cld}_{AW}(\mathbb{P}) = \text{Cld}_W(\mathbb{P}) \cong \ell_2$.

As a by-product of the proof of Theorem 1 we obtain the following characterization of metric spaces whose hyperspaces with the Attouch-Wets topology are separable absolute retracts.

Theorem 2. *The hyperspace $\text{Cld}_{AW}(X)$ of a metric space X is a separable absolute retract if and only if the completion $\overline{X}$ of X is proper, locally connected and contains no bounded connected component.*

Our Theorems 1 and 2 are “Attouch-Wets” counterparts of the following two results from [BV₂]:

Theorem 3. *The hyperspace $\text{Cld}_H(X)$ of a metric space (X, d) is homeomorphic to ℓ_2 if and only if X is a topologically complete nowhere locally compact space and the completion $\overline{X}$ of X is compact, connected, and locally connected.*

Theorem 4. *The hyperspace $\text{Cld}_H(X)$ of a metric space X is a separable absolute retract if and only if the completion $\overline{X}$ of X is compact, connected and locally connected.*

2. TOPOLOGY OF LAWSON SEMILATTICES AND SOME AUXILIARY FACTS

Theorem 2 will be derived from a more general result concerning Lawson semilattices. By a *topological semilattice* we understand a pair $(L, \vee)$ consisting of a topological space L and a continuous associative commutative idempotent operation $\vee : L \times L \rightarrow L$. A topological semilattice $(L, \vee)$ is a *Lawson semilattice* if open subsemilattices form a base of the topology of L . A typical example of a Lawson semilattice is the hyperspace $\text{Cld}_H(X)$ endowed with the operation of union $\cup$.

Each semilattice $(L, \vee)$ carries a natural partial order: $x \leq y$ iff $x \vee y = y$. A semilattice $(L, \vee)$ is called *complete* if each subset $A \subset L$ has the smallest upper bound $\sup A \in L$. It is well-known (and can be easily proved) that each compact topological semilattice is complete.

Lemma 1. *If L is a locally compact Lawson semilattice, then each compact subset $K \subset L$ has the smallest upper bound $\sup K \in L$. Moreover, the map $\sup : \text{Comp}(L) \rightarrow L$, $\sup : K \mapsto \sup K$, is a continuous semilattice homomorphism. Also for every subset $A \subset L$ with compact closure $\overline{A}$ we have $\sup A = \sup \overline{A}$.*

This lemma easily follows from its compact version proved by J. Lawson in [Law].

In Lawson semilattices many geometric questions reduce to the one-dimensional level. The following fact illustrating this phenomenon is proved in [KS].

Lemma 2. *Let X be a dense subsemilattice of a metrizable Lawson semilattice L . If X is relatively LC^0 in L (and X is path-connected), then X and L are ANRs (ARs) and X is homotopy dense in L .*

A subset $Y \subset X$ is defined to be *relatively LC^0* in X if for every $x \in X$ each neighborhood U of x in X contains a smaller neighborhood V of x such that every two points of $V \cap Y$ can be joined by a path in $U \cap Y$.

Under a suitable completeness condition, the density of a subsemilattice is equivalent to the homotopical density. A subset Y of a topological space X is *homotopy dense* in X if there is a homotopy $(h_t)_{t \in [0,1]} : X \rightarrow X$ such that $h_0 = \text{id}$ and $h_t(X) \subset Y$ for every $t > 0$.

A subsemilattice X of semilattice L is defined to be *relatively complete* in L if for any subset $A \subset X$ having the smallest upper bound $\sup A$ in L this bound belongs to X .

Proposition 1. *Let L be a locally compact locally connected Lawson semilattice. Each dense relatively complete subsemilattice $X \subset L$ is homotopy dense in L .*

Proof. According to Lemma 2 it suffices to check that X is relatively LC^0 in L . Given a point $x_0 \in L$ and a neighborhood $U \subset L$ of x_0 , consider the canonical retraction $\sup : \text{Comp}(L) \rightarrow L$. Using the ANR-property of L and continuity of $\sup$, find a path-connected neighborhood $V \subset L$ of x_0 such that $\sup(\text{Comp}(\overline{V})) \subset U$. We claim that any two points $x, y \in X \cap V$ can be connected by a path in $X \cap U$. First we construct a path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \overline{V}$ such that $\gamma(0) = x$, $\gamma(1) = y$ and $\gamma^{-1}(X)$ is dense in $[0, 1]$. Let $\{q_n : n \in \omega\}$ be a countable dense subset in $[0, 1]$ with $q_0 = 0$ and $q_1 = 1$. The space L , being locally compact, admits a complete metric ρ . The path-connectedness of V implies the existence of a continuous map $\gamma_0 : [0, 1] \rightarrow V$ such that $\gamma_0(0) = x$ and $\gamma_0(1) = y$. Using the local path-connectedness of L we can construct inductively a sequence of functions $\gamma_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow V$ such that

- $\gamma_n(q_k) = \gamma_{n-1}(q_k)$ for all $k \leq n$;
- $\gamma_n(q_{n+1}) \in X$;
- $\sup_{t \in [0,1]} \rho(\gamma_n(t), \gamma_{n-1}(t)) < 2^{-n}$.

Then the map $\gamma = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \overline{V}$ is continuous and has the desired properties: $\gamma(0) = x$, $\gamma(1) = y$ and $\gamma(q_n) \in X$ for all $n \in \omega$.

For every $t \in [0, 1]$ consider the set $\Gamma(t) = \{\gamma(s) : |t - s| \leq \text{dist}(t, \{0, 1\})\}$. It is clear that the map $\Gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Comp}(L)$ is continuous and so is the composition $\text{sup} \circ \Gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow L$. Observe that $\text{sup} \circ \Gamma(0) = \text{sup}\{\gamma(0)\} = \gamma(0) = x$, $\text{sup} \circ \Gamma(1) = y$, and $\text{sup} \circ \Gamma([0, 1]) \subset \text{sup}(\text{Comp}(\overline{V})) \subset U$. Since for every $t \in (0, 1)$ the set $\Gamma(t) = \overline{\Gamma(t) \cap X}$, we get $\text{sup} \Gamma(t) = \text{sup}(\Gamma(t) \cap X) \in X$ by the relative completeness of X in L . Thus $\text{sup} \circ \Gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow U \cap X$ is a path connecting x and y in U . $\square$

For a metric space X by $\text{Fin}(X)$ we denote the subspace of $\text{Comp}(X)$ consisting of non-empty finite subspaces of X .

Lemma 3. *If Y is a subset of a locally path-connected space X , then the subset $L = \text{Fin}(X) \setminus \text{Fin}(Y)$ is relatively LC^0 in $\text{Comp}(X)$.*

Proof. By the argument of [CN] we can show that $\text{Fin}(X)$ is relatively LC^0 in $\text{Comp}(X)$. Consequently, for every compact set $K \in \text{Comp}(X)$ and a neighborhood $U \subset \text{Comp}(X)$ of K there is a neighborhood $V \subset \text{Comp}(X)$ of K such that any two points $A, B \in \text{Fin}(X) \cap V$ can be linked by a path in $\text{Fin}(X) \cap U$. Since $\text{Comp}(X)$ is a Lawson semilattice, we may assume that U and V are subsemilattices of $\text{Comp}(X)$. We claim that any two points $A, B \in L \cap V$ can be connected by a path in $L \cap U$. Since $L \subset \text{Fin}(X)$, there is a path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow U \cap \text{Fin}(X)$ such that $\gamma(0) = A$ and $\gamma(1) = B$. Define a new path $\gamma' : [0, 1] \rightarrow U \cap \text{Fin}(X)$ letting $\gamma'(t) = \gamma(\max\{0, 2t - 1\}) \cup \gamma(\min\{2t, 1\})$. Observe that $A \subset \gamma'(t)$ if $t \leq 1/2$ and $B \subset \gamma'(t)$ if $t \geq 1/2$. Since $A, B \notin \text{Fin}(Y)$, we conclude that $\gamma'([0, 1]) \subset L \cap U$. $\square$

We also need the following nontrivial fact from [BV₁, Corollary 2].

Lemma 4. *Let X be a dense subset of a metric space M . Then the hyperspace $\text{Cld}_H(X)$ is an $A(N)R$ if and only if so is the hyperspace $\text{Cld}_H(M)$.*

The proof of Theorem 1 and Theorem 4 relies on the next lemma due to D. Curtis [Cu].

Lemma 5. *A homotopy dense G_δ -subset $X \subset Q$ with homotopy dense complement in the Hilbert cube Q is homeomorphic to ℓ_2 .*

3. THE METRICS d_{AW} AND d_H ON $\text{Cld}(X)$

Let $X = (X, d)$ be a metric space. The ε -neighborhood of $x \in X$ (i.e., the open ball centered at x with radius ε) is denoted by $B(x, \varepsilon)$. Let A and B be nonempty subsets of a metric space (X, d) . The *excess* of A over B with respect to d is defined by the formula

$$e_d(A, B) = \sup\{d(a, B) \mid a \in A\}.$$

Here we assume that $e_d(A, \emptyset) = +\infty$. For the Hausdorff metric we have the following :

$$d_H(A, B) = \max\{e_d(A, B), e_d(B, A)\} = \sup_{x \in X} |d(x, A) - d(x, B)|.$$

Now we define the metric d_{AW} .

Fix $x_0 \in X$ and let $X_i = \{x \in X \mid d(x, x_0) \leq i\}$. The following metric d_{AW} on $\text{Cld}(X)$ generates the Attouch-Wets topology:¹

$$d_{AW}(A, B) = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \min\left\{1/i, \sup_{x \in X_i} |d(x, A) - d(x, B)|\right\}.$$

It should be noticed that

$$d_{AW}(A, B) \leq d_H(A, B) \quad \text{for every } A, B \in \text{Cld}(X).$$

¹In [Be], the following metric is adopted

$$d_{AW}(A, B) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-i} \min\left\{1, \sup_{x \in X_i} |d(x, A) - d(x, B)|\right\}.$$

We need the following fact for the Attouch-Wets convergence in terms of excess, see [Be, Theorem 3.1.7].

Proposition 2. *Let (X, d) be a metric space, and $A, A_1, A_2, \dots$ be nonempty closed subsets of X , $x_0 \in X$ be fixed. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{AW}(A_n, A) = 0$;
- (2) *For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we have both $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e_d(A \cap X_i, A_n) = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e_d(A_n \cap X_i, A) = 0$.*

Recall that the Attouch-Wets topology depends on the metric for X , that is, the space $\text{Cld}_{AW}(X)$ is not a topological invariant for X . Concerning conditions that two metrics for X induce the same topology, see [Be, Theorem 3.3.3].

4. EMBEDDING $\text{Cld}_{AW}(X)$ IN $\text{Cld}_H(\alpha X)$

The main idea in proving Theorems 1, 2 is the following: we reduce the Attouch-Wets topology on $\text{Cld}(X)$ to the Hausdorff metric topology on $\text{Cld}(\alpha X)$ for a suitable one-point extension αX of X . The metric space $(\alpha X, \rho)$ is obtained by adding the infinity point ∞ to the space X . More precisely, we endow the space αX with the metric

$$\rho(x, y) = \begin{cases} \min\left\{d(x, y), \frac{1}{1+d(x, x_0)} + \frac{1}{1+d(y, x_0)}\right\}, & \text{if } x, y \in X \\ \frac{1}{1+d(x, x_0)}, & \text{if } x \in X, y = \infty \\ \frac{1}{1+d(y, x_0)}, & \text{if } y \in X, x = \infty \\ 0, & \text{if } x = y = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Here, $x_0 \in X$ is a fixed point. Note, that (X, d) is homeomorphic to $(\alpha X \setminus \{\infty\}, \rho)$ and $\text{diam}(\alpha X) < 2$.

Remark 1. *We can obtain the space $(\alpha X, \rho)$ in the following way: embed X in $X \times [0, 1)$ by the formula $x \mapsto (x, \frac{d(x, x_0)}{1+d(x, x_0)})$ and consider the cone metric on this space (induced by the suitable metrization of the quotient space $X \times [0, 1]/X \times \{1\}$).*

Proposition 3. *The function $e : \text{Cld}_{AW}(X) \rightarrow \text{Cld}_H(\alpha X)$ defined by the formula $e(A) = A \cup \{\infty\}$ is an embedding.*

Proof. Let $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{AW}(A_n, A) = 0$. Assume to the contrary that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_H(e(A_n), e(A)) \neq 0$. This means that there exists some $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that we can find either a sequence $x_{n_k} \in A_{n_k}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, with $\rho_H(x_{n_k}, A \cup \{\infty\}) \geq \varepsilon_0$, or there exists a sequence $(y_k) \subset A$ with $\rho_H(A_{n_k} \cup \{\infty\}, y_k) \geq \varepsilon_0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In the former case, since $\infty \in e(A)$, we have $\rho(x_{n_k}, \infty) = \frac{1}{1+d(x_{n_k}, x_0)} \geq \varepsilon_0$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, there exists some $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(x_{n_k}) \subset X_{i_0}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For every $y \in A$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ $\rho(x_{n_k}, y) \geq \varepsilon_0$, and so $d(x_{n_k}, y) \geq \varepsilon_0$. This implies that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ $\sup_{x \in X_{i_0}} |d(x, A_{n_k}) - d(x, A)| \geq d(x_{n_k}, A) \geq \varepsilon_0$. Combining this with the definition of the Attouch-Wets metric we get $d_{AW}(A_{n_k}, A) \geq \min\{\frac{1}{i_0}, \varepsilon_0\}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This is a contradiction. In the latter case, similar to the above, we have for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ $\sup_{x \in X_{i_0}} |d(x, A_{n_k}) - d(x, A)| \geq d(y_k, A_{n_k}) \geq \varepsilon_0$. Whence, $d_{AW}(A_{n_k}, A) \geq \min\{\frac{1}{i_0}, \varepsilon_0\}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Conversely, let $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_H(e(A_n), e(A)) = 0$. Assume to the contrary that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{AW}(A_n, A) \neq 0$. This means that there exists a subsequence $(A_{n_k}) \subset (A_n)$ with $d_{AW}(A_{n_k}, A) \geq \varepsilon_0$ for some $\varepsilon_0 > 0$. Then, by Proposition 2, there exists $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that either $e_d(A_{n_k} \cap X_{i_0}, A) \geq \varepsilon_0$ or $e_d(A \cap X_{i_0}, A_{n_k}) \geq \varepsilon_0$. Remark, that we can take i_0 so large that $A_n \cap X_{i_0} \neq \emptyset$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently, in the former case we can find a sequence $x_k \in A_{n_k} \cap X_{i_0}$ with $d(x_k, y) \geq \varepsilon_0$ for each $y \in A$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, $\rho(x_k, y) = \min\{d(x_k, y), \frac{1}{1+d(x_k, x_0)} + \frac{1}{1+d(y, x_0)}\} \geq \min\{\varepsilon_0, \frac{1}{1+i_0}\}$ for all $y \in A$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\rho(x_k, \infty) = \frac{1}{1+d(x_k, x_0)} \geq \frac{1}{1+i_0}$, it follows that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho_H(A_{n_k} \cup \{\infty\}, A \cup \{\infty\}) \geq \min\{\varepsilon_0, \frac{1}{1+i_0}\} > 0$, and we have a contradiction. In the latter case, there exists a sequence $y_k \in A \cap X_{i_0}$ with $d(y_k, x) \geq \varepsilon_0$ for all $x \in A_{n_k}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Whence, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\rho(y_k, x) \geq \min\{\varepsilon_0, \frac{1}{1+d(y_k, x_0)}\} \geq \min\{\varepsilon_0, \frac{1}{1+i_0}\}$ and $\rho(y_k, \infty) = \frac{1}{1+d(y_k, x_0)} \geq \frac{1}{1+i_0}$. This violates that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_H(e(A_n), e(A)) = 0$. $\square$

For a metric space X and a point $x_0 \in X$ let

$$\text{Cld}_H(X|\{x_0\}) = \{F \in \text{Cld}_H(X) : x_0 \in F\}.$$

It follows from Proposition 3 that

$$e(\text{Cld}_{AW}(X)) = \text{Cld}_H(\alpha X|\{\infty\}) \setminus \{\infty\}$$

and thus $\text{Cld}_{AW}(X)$ is homeomorphic to $\text{Cld}_H(\alpha X|\{\infty\}) \setminus \{\infty\}$.

The ANR-property of the space $\text{Cld}_H(X|\{x_0\})$ was characterized in [Voy]:

Proposition 4. *For a metric space X with a distinguished point x_0 the hyperspace $\text{Cld}_H(X|\{x_0\})$ is an absolute (neighborhood) retract if and only if so is the hyperspace $\text{Cld}_H(X)$.*

Proposition 4 implies the following fact about Attouch-Wets hyperspace topology having an independent interest.

Corollary 3. *Let X be a dense subset of a metric space M . Then, the hyperspace $\text{Cld}_{AW}(X)$ is an absolute neighborhood retract (an absolute retract) if and only if so is the hyperspace $\text{Cld}_{AW}(M)$.*

Proof. It follows from the Propositions 3, 4 and Lemma 4. $\square$

5. THE COMPLETION $\overline{\alpha X}$ IS A PEANO CONTINUUM

We need the following lemma proved in [SY, Lemma 2].

Lemma 6. *If X is a locally connected, locally compact separable metrizable space with no compact components, then its Alexandroff one-point compactification αX is a Peano continuum.*

Using the previous lemma we can easily obtain

Lemma 7. *Suppose that the completion $\overline{X}$ of a metric space X is a proper locally connected space with no bounded connected components. Then, $\overline{\alpha X}$ is a Peano continuum.*

Proof. Note, that the completion $\overline{X}$ of X satisfies the conditions of Lemma 6 and $\overline{\alpha X}$ (the completion of αX) coincides with the Alexandroff one-point compactification of $\overline{X}$. $\square$

Then, by the Curtis-Schori Hyperspace Theorem [CS], $\text{Cld}_H(\overline{\alpha X}) = \text{Comp}(\overline{\alpha X})$ is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube Q .

Lemma 8. *If a metric space X has proper, locally connected completion $\overline{X}$ having no bounded connected component, then the hyperspace $\text{Cld}_H(\overline{\alpha X}|\{\infty\})$ is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube Q .*

Proof. Observe that $\text{Cld}_H(\overline{\alpha X}|\{\infty\})$ is a retract of $\text{Cld}_H(\overline{\alpha X})$, and thus is a compact absolute retract. Then, we use the Characterization Theorem for the Hilbert cube, see [BRZ, Theorem 1.1.23]. By this theorem we have to check that for each $\varepsilon > 0$, every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and each maps $f_1, f_2 : I^n \rightarrow \text{Cld}_H(\overline{\alpha X}|\{\infty\})$ there are maps $f'_1, f'_2 : I^n \rightarrow \text{Cld}_H(\overline{\alpha X}|\{\infty\})$ such that $d(f_i, f'_i) < \varepsilon$, $i = 1, 2$, and $f'_1(I^n) \cap f'_2(I^n) = \emptyset$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and maps $f_1, f_2 : I^n \rightarrow \text{Cld}_H(\overline{\alpha X}|\{\infty\})$. By the argument of [CN] we can show that $\text{Fin}_H(\overline{\alpha X})$ is homotopy dense in $\text{Cld}_H(\overline{\alpha X})$. Therefore, we can find an $\varepsilon/2$ -close to f_i map $g_i : I^n \rightarrow \text{Fin}_H(\overline{\alpha X})$, $i = 1, 2$, respectively, see [BRZ, Ex. 1.2.10]. Observe, that $d(f_i, g_i \cup \{\infty\}) < \varepsilon/2$, $i = 1, 2$. Then, it is easily seen that maps $f'_1 = g_1 \cup \{\infty\}$ and $f'_2 = g_2 \cup B(\infty, \varepsilon/2)$ are as required. $\square$

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

To prove the “only if” part, assume that $\text{Cld}_{AW}(X)$ is a separable absolute retract. The separability of $\text{Cld}_{AW}(X)$ implies that each bounded subset of X is totally bounded [BKS, Theorem 5.2], which is equivalent to the properness of the completion $\overline{X}$ of X . By Corollary 3, the hyperspace $\text{Cld}_{AW}(\overline{X})$ is a separable absolute retract too. In this case $\text{Cld}_{AW}(\overline{X}) = \text{Cld}_F(\overline{X})$ (by $\text{Cld}_F(X)$ we denote the hyperspace $\text{Cld}(X)$ endowed with the Fell topology, see [Be, Theorem 5.1.10]) is an absolute retract, and we can apply [SY, Propositions 1, 2] to conclude that the locally compact space $\overline{X}$ is locally connected and contains no bounded (=compact) connected component.

Next, we prove the “if” part of Theorem 2. Assume that the completion $\overline{X}$ of X is proper, locally connected with no bounded connected components. By Lemma 8, the space $\text{Cld}_H(\overline{\alpha X}|\{\infty\})$ is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube Q . Proposition 1 implies that $\text{Cld}_H(\alpha X|\{\infty\})$ is homotopy dense in $\text{Cld}_H(\overline{\alpha X}|\{\infty\})$. Taking into account that the Hilbert cube with deleted point is an absolute retract and so is any homotopy dense subset of $Q \setminus \{pt\}$, we conclude that $\text{Cld}_H(\alpha X|\{\infty\}) \setminus \{\infty\}$ and its topological copy $\text{Cld}_{AW}(X)$ are absolute retracts.

7. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

The “only if” part. If $\text{Cld}_{AW}(X)$ is homeomorphic to ℓ_2 , then X is topologically complete by [Co]. The total boundedness of each bounded subset of X follows from [BKS, Theorem 5.2]. Since ℓ_2 is a separable absolute retract, we may apply Theorem 2 to conclude that the completion $\bar{X}$ of X is locally connected and contains no bounded connected component. It remains to show that X is not locally compact at infinity. Assume the contrary, i.e., there exists a bounded subset $B \subset X$ with locally compact complement in X . Then it is easily seen that the point $\infty \in \alpha X$ has an open neighborhood with compact closure. Whence, we can find a compact neighborhood of $\{\infty\}$ in $\text{Cld}_H(\alpha X|\{\infty\})$. But this is impossible because of the nowhere locally compactness of the Hilbert space ℓ_2 . This proves the “only if” part of Theorem 1.

To prove the “if” part, assume that X is topologically complete, not locally compact at infinity and the completion $\bar{X}$ of X is proper, locally connected with no bounded connected components. By Proposition 3, we identify $\text{Cld}_{AW}(X)$ with the subspace $\text{Cld}_H(\alpha X|\{\infty\}) \setminus \{\infty\}$ of $\text{Cld}_H(\alpha X|\{\infty\})$. By Lemma 8, the hyperspace $\text{Cld}_H(\bar{\alpha X}|\{\infty\})$ is homeomorphic to Q . Now consider the map $e : \text{Cld}_H(\alpha X|\{\infty\}) \rightarrow \text{Cld}_H(\bar{\alpha X}|\{\infty\})$ assigning to each closed subset $F \subset \alpha X$ its closure $\bar{F}$ in $\bar{\alpha X}$ and note that this map is an isometric embedding, which allows us to identify the hyperspace $\text{Cld}_{AW}(X)$ with the subspace $\{F \in \text{Cld}_H(\bar{\alpha X}|\{\infty\}) : F = \text{cl}(F \cap \alpha X)\}$ of $\text{Cld}_H(\bar{\alpha X}|\{\infty\})$. It is easy to check that this subspace is dense and relatively complete in the Lawson semilattice $\text{Cld}_H(\bar{\alpha X}|\{\infty\})$. Then it is homotopically dense in $\text{Cld}_H(\bar{\alpha X}|\{\infty\})$ by Proposition 1 and Lemma 2. The subset $\text{Cld}_{AW}(X)$, being topologically complete, is a G_δ -set in $\text{Cld}_H(\bar{\alpha X}|\{\infty\})$. The dense subsemilattice $L = \text{Fin}_H(\bar{\alpha X}|\{\infty\}) \setminus \text{Fin}_H(\alpha X|\{\infty\})$ is homotopy dense in $\text{Cld}_H(\bar{\alpha X}|\{\infty\})$, since X is not locally compact at infinity. Since $L \cap \text{Cld}_{AW}(X) = \emptyset$, we get that $\text{Cld}_{AW}(X)$ is a homotopy dense G_δ -subset in $\text{Cld}_H(\bar{\alpha X}|\{\infty\})$ with homotopy dense complement. Applying Lemma 5 we conclude that the space $\text{Cld}_{AW}(X)$ is homeomorphic to ℓ_2 .

REFERENCES

- [BKS] T. Banach, M. Kurihara, K. Sakai, *Hyperspaces of normed linear spaces with Attouch-Wets topology*, Set-Valued Anal. **11** (2003), 21–36.
- [Be] G. Beer, *Topologies on closed and closed convex sets*, MIA 268, Dordrecht: Kluwer Acad. Publ., 1993.
- [BRZ] T. Banach, T. Radul and M. Zarichnyi, *Absorbing Sets in Infinite-Dimensional Manifolds*, VNTL Publishers, Lviv, 1996.
- [BV₁] T. Banach and R. Voytsitsky, *Characterizing metric spaces whose hyperspaces are absolute neighborhood retracts*, Topology Appl. (to appear).
- [BV₂] T. Banach and R. Voytsitsky, *Characterizing metric spaces whose hyperspaces are homeomorphic to ℓ_2* , preprint.
- [CN] Doug Curtis and Nguyen To Nhu, *Hyperspaces of finite subsets which are homeomorphic to $\aleph_0$ -dimensional linear metric spaces*, Topol. Appl. **19** (1985), 251–260.
- [Co] C. Costantini, *Every Wijsman topology relative to a Polish space is Polish*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **123** (1995), 2569–2574.
- [CS] D.W. Curtis, R.M. Schori, *Hyperspaces of Peano continua are Hilbert cubes*, Fund. Math. **101** (1978), 19–38.
- [Cu] D.W. Curtis, *Boundary sets in the Hilbert cube*, Topology Appl. **20** (1985), 201–221.
- [KSY] W. Kubiś, K. Sakai, M. Yaguchi *Hyperspaces of separable Banach space with the Wijsman topology*, Topology Appl. **148** (2005), 7–32.
- [Law] J.D. Lawson *Topological semilattices with small semilattices*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **1** (1969) 719–724.
- [SY] K. Sakai and Z. Yang, *Hyperspaces of non-compact metrizable spaces which are homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube*, Topology Appl. **127** (2002), 331–342.
- [Voy] R. Voytsitsky, *ANR-property of hyperspaces with the Attouch-Wets topology*, preprint.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IVAN FRANKO LVIV NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSYTETSKA 1, LVIV, 79000, UKRAINE
 E-mail address: voytsitski@mail.lviv.ua